Astaxanthin, canthaxanthin and β-carotene differently affect UVA-induced oxidative damage and expression of oxidative stress-responsive enzymes
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Abstract: Carotenoids are used for systemic photoprotection in humans. Regarding mechanisms underlying photoprotective effects of carotenoids, here we compared the modulation of UVA-related injury by carotenoids. Human dermal fibroblasts (HDF) were exposed to moderate doses of UVA, which stimulated apoptosis, increased levels of reactive oxygen species and thiobarbituric acid reactive substances, decreased antioxidant enzymes activities, promoted membrane perturbation, and induced the expression of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1). The carotenoids astaxanthin (AX), canthaxanthin (CX) and \(\beta\)-carotene (B\(\beta\)C) were delivered to HDF 24 h before exposure to UVA. Astaxanthin exhibited a pronounced photoprotective effect and counteracted all of the above-mentioned UVA-induced alterations to a significant extent. \(\beta\)-Carotene only partially prevented the UVA-induced decline of catalase and superoxide dismutase activities, but it increased membrane damage and stimulated HO-1 expression. Moreover, \(\beta\)C dose-dependently induced caspase-3 activity following UVA exposure. In contrast, CX had no effect on oxidative damage, except for HO-1 expression, which was augmented. Uptake of AX by fibroblasts was higher than that of the other two carotenoids. The photostability of the three compounds in fibroblasts was AX > CX > \(\beta\)C. The data indicate that the oxo-carotenoid AX has a superior preventive effect towards photo-oxidative changes in cell culture.
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Introduction

Various types of skin damage are caused by exposure to UV light (1). UVA-induced damage is mainly mediated by reactive oxygen species (ROS) which are generated in photosensitising reactions (2,3). UVA triggers the generation of singlet oxygen (\(\text{^1}\text{O}_2\)) via photosensitisation, which activates a series of \(\text{^1}\text{O}_2\)-dependent cellular response pathways. The induction of matrix metalloproteinases along with the accumulation of the age-associated mtDNA deletions are typical \(^1\text{O}_2\)-mediated effects (4,5). The final consequences of UVA irradiation and exposure to \(^1\text{O}_2\) are immunosuppressive reactions, photoaging, or photocarcinogenesis (6). UVA light is primarily involved in photoaccelerated skin aging, because it deeply penetrates the dermal layer, where fibroblasts prime aberrant extracellular matrix remodelling. Additionally, UVA-induced apoptosis is implicated in skin photoageing (7). UVA light depletes the antioxidant defense system of fibroblasts, especially affecting catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) (8). Supplementation with antioxidants may reinforce the endogenous defense system of the skin contributing to the protection against the deleterious effects of UVA (9–11). Among the photo-protective agents with notable antioxidant activity, carotenoids are of major importance, being powerful natural \(^1\text{O}_2\) quenchers (12). \(\beta\)-Carotene (\(\beta\)C) is widely used in supplements as a photoprotector, either alone or in combination with other antioxidants (13,14), based also on its...
providing A activity. The vitamin A derivative all-trans-retinoic acid, is the major biologically active retinoid, which however has limited use because of side effects. Optimised formulations of retinoic acid combined with other agents or as a precursor overcome side effects (15). Although it appears that important photoprotective actions can be attributed to carotenoids, there is an increasing concern that some of them may also display pro-oxidant side effects. As matter of the fact, when the photoprotective properties of βC were addressed, a number of conflicting results have emerged (16–22). Carotenoids other than βC, in particular, lycopene and several xanthophylls have been considered as substitutes for βC in photoprotective formulations (23–27). Apart from their distinct antioxidant properties, carotenoids exhibit several other biological functions such as provitamin A activity, modulation of gene expression and cell–cell communication (28,29). For evaluating the activity of carotenoids to modulate the UV-induced damages in skin, dermal fibroblasts are a suitable model system, being the primary target of long wave UV radiation, to which they respond through different pathways sensitive to oxidative signalling. Fibroblasts take up carotenoids and related compounds at a significant extent (19,30). The aim of the present study was to investigate the photoprotective properties of astaxanthin (AX) compared to the congener canthaxanthin (CX), and βC.

Materials and methods
Chemicals
Astaxanthin and CX were kindly provided by BASF (Ludwigshafen, Germany). β-Carotene was obtained from MP Biomedical (Asse-Relegem, Belgium). All the reagents and solvents were of the highest purity commercially available. Purity of carotenoids was determined by HPLC-DAD analysis and was ≥98% for all of them.

Carotenoid solutions
Stock solutions of the carotenoids were prepared in tetrahydrofuran (THF, purity > 99.9%, peroxide ≤ 0.01%) (Merck, Germany) in amber glass vials, in the dark, and at 4°C. The concentration of 10 mM of stock solutions was confirmed by spectrophotometry. The stock solutions were aliquoted and kept at −80°C until use. Dilution of the carotenoid solutions and cell treatment were performed under dim light.

Cell culture conditions and treatment
Human dermal fibroblasts (HDF) were obtained from child foreskin. Cells were cultured as monolayers in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Euroclone, Wetherby, West York, UK), supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA), 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 μg/ml penicillin-streptomycin (all from GIBCO Life Technologies S.r.l., Milan, Italy) and maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cells were passaged every 3 days by trypsinisation and were used for experiments between passages 4 and 12. Cells were seeded in 6-cm²-diameter culture dishes and pretreated with the carotenoids when the 90% confluence was reached. For treatment, carotenoid stock solutions were diluted to obtain final concentrations in the incubation medium in the range of 0.5–10 μM. Pretreatment was for 24 h prior to UVA irradiation.

UVA irradiation
The medium was withdrawn and cells were washed twice with isotonic solution and covered with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Irradiation was carried out at 30°C in a Bio-Sun irradiation apparatus (Vilbert Lourmat, Marnè-la-Vallée, France) with maximum emission at 365 nm in the UVA spectral region (320–400 nm). The UVA dose rate was 3.9 ± 0.06 mW/cm², and the average irradiation time required to emit 10 J/cm² was 45 min. Following irradiation, PBS was replaced by fresh medium and cells were incubated until analysed. Control cells were treated identically but without UVA exposure. To assess the phototoxicity of UVA, HDF were irradiated with 0, 5, 10 and 15 J/cm² UVA, and the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) toxicity assay (31) was performed 24 h after irradiation by measuring the optical density (OD) at 540 nm.

Cell death and apoptosis
Cell death and apoptosis were detected by the propidium iodide (PI) and annexin V staining methods (32). Briefly, HDF were harvested by trypsinisation and suspended in the staining buffer (10 mM HEPES/NaOH, pH 7.4, 140 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM CaCl₂). They were stained with FITC-labelled annexin V and PI, 1 μg/ml each, for 10 min and then analysed by FACS Calibur (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA, USA) using Cell-Quest software for Macintosh.

Caspase-3 activity
Caspase-3 activity was assayed 12 h after irradiation using the CaspACE Assay System (Promega/Catalys, Wallisellen, Switzerland) with Ac-Asp-Glu-Val-Asp-p-nitroaniline (Ac-DEVD-pNA) as a substrate, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. pNA is released upon cleavage and monitored spectrophotometrically at 405 nm. Caspase-3 activity was calculated using a calibration curve of pNA and normalised by the protein concentration of the cell extract. The caspase-3 activity was determined in three independent experiments in duplicate and expressed as μmol pNA/mg protein.
Reactive oxygen species – FACS analysis
Intracellular ROS were determined with dihydrorhodamine 123 (DHR123; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) (33). Following exposure to 10 J/cm² UVA, cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated with 2.5 µM DHR123 at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in PBS containing 5 mM glucose. After 30-min incubation, cells were harvested and centrifuged at 1200 rpm, then re-suspended in PBS with 5 mM glucose at a density of 2.5 x 10⁶ cells/ml. The signal of green fluorescent rhodamine 123 (the oxidation product of DHR123) was measured by flow cytometry using FACSCalibur equipped with a 488-nm argon laser. A total of 10 x 10⁶ samples were kept on ice and immediately analysed using FACSCalibur equipped with a 488 nm argon laser. A total of 10 x 10⁶ using FACSCalibur to analyse the product of DHR123 was measured by flow cytometry.

Activity of catalase and superoxide dismutase
Cells were lysed in PBS by repeated freezing and thawing, in the presence of protease inhibitors and centrifuged at 10 000 g for 10 min at 4°C. Enzyme activities were determined in the supernatant from cells harvested 3 h after irradiation. Catalase activity was determined spectrophotometrically measuring the loss of H₂O₂ at 240 nm (36); a standard curve was obtained with bovine CAT (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy). Superoxide dismutase activity was measured according to Spitz and Oberley (37). Superoxide generated by xanthine/xanthine-oxidase system was detected by monitoring the reduction of nitroblue tetrazolium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at 560 nm. CAT and SOD activities were normalised for the protein content. Three assays were run with each supernatant, and experiments were repeated in duplicate.

Plasma membrane integrity
Fluorescein diacetate (FDA) (Fluka, AG, Switzerland) was used to assess UVA-induced membrane damage (34). Immediately after UVA exposure, cells were incubated with 1 µg/ml FDA in PBS at 37°C for 5 min. Control cells were kept in the dark. After rinsing with PBS, cells were harvested and trypsinised and centrifuged at 1200 rpm, then suspended in PBS at a density of 2.5 x 10⁵ cells/ml. The samples were kept on ice and immediately analysed using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer equipped with a 488-nm argon laser. A total of 10 x 10⁵ cells from each sample were sorted; data were collected and processed with the Cell-Quest software. The median value of FL-1 channel of fluorescence was used to evaluate the intracellular content of rhodamine 123 as a measure of the ROS formation. The results are the mean of three experiments performed in duplicate.

Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS)
The determination of TBARS was performed as described by Jentzsch et al. (35). Three hours after irradiation, cells were harvested and homogenised in the presence of butylated hydroxy toluene mixed with orthophosphoric acid and thiobarbituric acid. The reaction mixture was heated at 90°C for 45 min. Samples were put on ice to stop the reaction and extracted once with n-butanol. Absorption was read at 535 and 572 nm to normalise for the baseline absorption, with a Beckman DU-70 spectrophotometer (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). TBARS equivalents were calculated using the difference in the absorption at the two wavelengths. Quantification was based on calibration curves using malondialdehyde (MDA) as a standard. The values, obtained as pmol of MDA, were normalised for protein content determined with Bio-Rad protein assay (Bio-Rad, CA, USA). Three different determinations in duplicate were performed.

RNA isolation and semiquantification of OH-1 mRNA levels by RT-PCR
Heme oxygenase-1 mRNA was analysed according to previously reported methods (38). The total RNA was extracted from the HDF using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Italy) according to the protocol of the manufacturer. Briefly, cells were homogenised in 1 ml TRIzol reagent and then extracted with 0.2 ml CHCl₃. Isopropanol (0.5 ml) was added to the aqueous phase. The RNA pellets were washed with ethanol and dissolved in RNase-free water. Total RNA quantity, purity, and absence of ribonuclease digestion were checked by measuring the ratio between absorbance at 260 and 280 nm and by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. The oligonucleotide primers for the PCR were: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) sense: 5’-GCACCA-CCAACTGCTTAGC-3’ and antisense: 5’-TGCTCAGTG TAGGCCAGG-3’; for hemeoxygenase-1 (HO-1) sense 5’-CCAGCGGCCAGCAACAA CGTGC-3’ and antisense 5’-AAGCCTTCAGTGCCCACGGTAAAG-3’. Reverse-transcriptase-PCR was carried out using 1 µg of total RNA. After denaturing in diethylpyrocarbonate-treated water (Promega Corporation, WI, USA) at 70°C for 10 min, RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using ImProm-II™ Reverse Transcriptase (1 µl per reaction; Promega) and 0.5 µg of oligo(dT) primers at 42°C for 60 min in a total volume of 20-µl ImProm-II™ 5X reaction buffer (Promega) containing 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM dNTP and 20 U RNase inhibitor. Reverse transcriptase was inactivated at 70°C for 15 min and the RNA template was digested by RNase H at 37°C for 30 min. In each case, samples containing no reverse transcriptase (negative control) were added to exclude amplification from contaminating DNA. PCR reaction was carried out in the PCR Master Mix buffer (Promega) (25 µl total volume containing 1 µl cDNA, 20 pmol of oligonucleotide primers, 1 U Taq DNA
polymerase, 1.5 mM MgCl₂ and 0.2 mM dNTP). PCR amplification was performed with an iCycler thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Milan, Italy). Each cycle consisted of 3 min at 94°C followed by 33 cycles at 94°C for 10 s, 60°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s, followed by a final cycle at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were visualised by staining with ethidium bromide after the separation on 2% tris-acetate-ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (TAE)-agarose gel. To semiquantitate the relative amounts of HO-1 mRNA, the band intensities were related densitometrically to the respective GAPDH PCR product of the same sample. Densitometric evaluation was performed using UVIDocMw software (Bio-Rad).

Western blot analysis of HO-1
Heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) was determined as reported (38,39). Cell pellets were lysed in RIPA buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors. Protein content was determined with the Bio-Rad protein assay. Total cell lyase proteins (20 μg) were resolved in denaturing 12% polyacrylamide gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Biosciences, NJ, USA). Protein transfer efficiency was checked with Ponceau S staining (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy). After washing with PBS, the membrane was blocked with 5% fat-free dry milk in PBS with 0.05% Tween-20 for 1 h at room temperature. After 30-min washing in PBS-0.05% Tween-20, the membrane was incubated with rabbit anti-HO-1 polyclonal antibody (Stressgen Bioreagents, MI, USA) 1:2000 in TBS-T-1% milk powder, overnight at 4°C. After washing with TBS-T, a secondary antibody goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP 1:5000 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., CA, USA) was added for 1 h at RT. Bands were visualised by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) reagent (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., CA, USA). β-Actin was used as a loading control.

HPLC analysis of carotenoids
HPLC analysis of carotenoids was performed as reported, with slight modification (40). All extractions and analytical procedures were operated in brown glass and in dim light. After lysis and centrifugation, proteins were determined in the supernatant with the Bio-Rad protein assay. The sample volume was brought to 1 ml with bidistilled water; 1 nmol lutein, as the internal standard, and 500 μl of ethanol were added. The mixtures were extracted three times with 3 ml of hexane; the organic layers were collected and the solvent was evaporated under a gentle stream of nitrogen. The dry residues were suspended in the HPLC eluent. HPLC was carried out on a Hewlett Packard 1090 (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) liquid chromatograph fitted with Supelcosil LC-18 (25 cm × 4.6 mm) column preceded by guard column. Astaxanthin and CX were separated under isocratic condition with a mobile phase consisting of two eluents (a) hexane, and (b) acetonitrile/methanol 85/15 containing 50 mM ammonium acetate, in the A/B ratio 5/95, at a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min. For the elution of βC, the same eluents were used with an elution program starting from A/B 5/95 with a flow of 0.6 ml/min in the time range 0–9 min to A/B 10/90 with a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min in the time range 9–12 min and finally to A/B 12/88 with a flow rate of 1 ml/min from 18 to 35 min. Data were acquired by using a diode array detector set at 450 nm.

Statistical analysis
To assess significance, data sets were processed applying the Student’s t test and differences were assumed to be statistically significant when P ≤ 0.05.

Results
Modulation of UVA toxicity by carotenoids
The chemical structures of the carotenoids investigated are shown in Fig. 1. UVA irradiation dose-dependently increased cell toxicity in HDF, as detected by MTT assay at 24 h (Fig. 2a). HDF labelling with PI was 2.6% ± 0.6, and 3.4% ± 0.7 of the total cells, at 10 and 15 J UVA, respectively. The increased phototoxicity is at least partially associated with UVA-dependent apoptosis. Following UVA radiation, caspase-3 activity was increased at 12 h (Fig. 2b). Modest apoptosis was detected 24 h after UV irradiation, measuring Annexin V binding being 2.2% ± 0.4, and 3.4% ± 0.7 of the total cells, at 10 and 15 J UVA, respectively. The above results, 10 J/cm² were selected as the working UVA dose for the experiments with AX, CX, and βC. HDF were loaded with the carotenoids at different concentrations 24 h prior to UVA irradiation. Twenty-four-hour post-irradiation, AX lowered UVA toxicity when preloaded at 5 and 10 μM, although differences were not significant (Fig. 3a). No effects on phototoxicity were observed when HDF were preloaded with CX. βC did not affect cell survival at levels of 0.5–2 μM. Concentrations of βC higher than 2 μM were phototoxic (Fig. 3a,b). Thus, further investigations with βC were performed at levels of
maximally 2 μM. The effects of carotenoids on UVA-induced cell toxicity were paralleled by modifications of caspase-3 activity and annexin V binding, which is used to detect early stages of apoptosis (Fig. 3c,d). Caspase-3 was decreased dose-dependently by AX, whereas effects on annexin V binding were detectable but not significant. Increasing levels of βC caused caspase-3 activity upregulation and aggravation of apoptosis, whereas CX had no significant effects (Fig. 3c,d).

Cellular uptake and photostability of carotenoids in fibroblasts
Cellular uptake of carotenoids was measured after 24 h of incubation. Carotenoids were delivered to the cells as a suspension obtained by thoroughly mixing stock solutions of the compounds dissolved in THF with complete medium to obtain a final concentration of 10 μM for AX and CX, and of 2 μM for βC. None of the carotenoids investigated were detected in the vehicle-treated cells. HPLC analyses revealed that the carotenoids were taken up with a different degree. AX was uploaded more efficiently than the other two carotenoids; CX and βC reached comparable intracellular levels, albeit βC was supplied at a lower level (Table 1). The cell content of carotenoids following supplementation was comparable with those previously reported (40,41). The supplementation time with CX was prolonged up to 96 h in order to achieve a higher cellular uptake at which investigate the effects on the modification of some of the parameters of cell oxidative damage. CX was time-dependently accumulated in HDF between 24 and 72 h, with the latter time point corresponding to the highest intracellular concentration reached (228 ± 42 nmol/mg protein). The intracellular levels of CX declined in the following hours reaching a concentration of 175 ± 16 nmol/mg protein at 96 h. To verify the photostability of the compounds, the concentrations of AX, CX, and βC were measured after exposure of loaded cells to 10 J/cm² UVA. No significant change in AX levels was found comparing pre- and post-irradiation cell extracts (Table 1). After photoradiation, CX presented a slight but significant decrease of the initial level reached at 24 h incubation (Table 1), and a more pronounced photodegradation following 72 h upload, being 160 ± 22 nmol/mg protein, the concentration of CX recovered after UVA. In contrast, βC was almost completely decomposed under the same conditions (Table 1).

Modulation of UVA-induced ROS generation by carotenoids
Reactive oxygen species generation is a well-established effect of UVA irradiation. To measure ROS, the relative amount of rhodamine 123, produced by oxidation of DHR123, was determined by flow cytometry. UVA (10 J/cm²) led to a significant ROS elevation (median of fluorescence 117 ± 10, *P < 0.005) (Fig. 4a), which was decreased by 30% and 50% in cells pretreated for 24 h with AX at 5 μM (median of fluorescence 81 ± 6, *P < 0.05) and 10 μM (median of fluorescence 57 ± 8, *P < 0.001), respectively. Treatment for 24 h with CX or βC showed no effect on UVA-induced ROS formation. To define whether the extent of uptake could influence the ROS scavenging capacity of CX, FACS analysis were performed at prolonged preincubation times. In spite of the increased uptake of CX, no effects on the UVA-induced ROS were observed at any of the pretreatment times (data not reported).
Effects of carotenoids on UVA-induced changes of membrane integrity and TBARS formation

UVA irradiation induced a substantial decline of plasma membrane integrity as measured by the decrease in the FDA uptake/retention \((P < 0.01)\), directly after UVA exposure (Fig. 4b). Pretreatment with 10 \(\mu M\) AX prevented UVA-induced leakage of fluorescein \((P < 0.05)\), whereas leakage was more pronounced when HDF were preincubated with 2 \(\mu M\) \(\beta\)C. In contrast, CX had no effect on the membrane perturbation caused by UVA.

Preincubation of fibroblasts with carotenoids did not influence the basal TBARS levels (Table 1). Upon UVA-induced leakage of fluorescein \((P < 0.05)\), whereas leakage was more pronounced when HDF were preincubated with 2 \(\mu M\) \(\beta\)C. In contrast, CX had no effect on the membrane perturbation caused by UVA.

Preincubation of fibroblasts with carotenoids did not influence the basal TBARS levels (Table 1). Upon UVA

### Table 1. Effects of 24 h AX, CX, and \(\beta\)C on formation of TBARS, activities of CAT and SOD and carotenoids levels in HDF, with and without UVA irradiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UVA (J/cm(^2))</th>
<th>TBARS (nmol MDA/mg protein)</th>
<th>CAT activity (U/mg protein)</th>
<th>SOD activity (U/mg protein)</th>
<th>Carotenoid levels (nmol/mg protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (THF 0.1%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>114 ± 5.6</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astaxanthin (10 (\mu M))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>114 ± 5.6</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canthaxanthin (10 (\mu M))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>102 ± 9.7</td>
<td>245 ± 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)-Carotene (2 (\mu M))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>102 ± 9.7</td>
<td>245 ± 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD of three experiments in duplicate. *\(P < 0.05\) versus sham-irradiated control; **\(P < 0.05\) versus irradiated HDF pretreated with the vehicle only.
irradiation, TBARS levels were increased by 40% \( (P < 0.05) \) in control cells. AX inhibited the UVA-induced formation of TBARS \( (P < 0.05) \). In comparison to the irradiated control cells (THF 0.1%), TBARS formation was lowered to about 70%. After 24-h pretreatment with CX, no change of TBARS levels was observed when compared with the irradiated control. By increasing upload of CX, no effects on the TBARS levels were recorded, even at a cellular uptake comparable to that of AX (data not shown). In contrast, the amount of TBARS was elevated in irradiated HDF loaded with 2 \( \mu M \) \( \beta C \) and exposed to 10 \( J/cm^2 \) UVA indicating a pro-oxidant effect of this compound under the present conditions (Table 1).

**Effects of carotenoids on UVA-induced inhibition of CAT and SOD activity**

To evaluate whether carotenoids influenced UVA-dependent inhibition of the antioxidant enzymes CAT and SOD, enzymatic activities were analysed 3 h after UVA irradiation in the presence and absence of the compounds (Table 1). UVA (10 \( J/cm^2 \)) led to a significant decrease of CAT activity. This decrease in CAT activity was significantly lower at 10 \( \mu M \) AX and less pronounced in the presence of 2 \( \mu M \) \( \beta C \). No change was found with 10 \( \mu M \) CX. After fibroblasts had been exposed to UVA, a significant decrease of SOD activity was also detected. Supplementing HDF with AX or \( \beta C \) counteracted this effect, whereas CX was ineffective.

**Effects of carotenoids on UVA-stimulated expression of HO-1**

In order to investigate the role of AX in the UVA-induced activation of genes sensitive to oxidative stress, compared with the congeners, we evaluated the induction of HO-1 at the mRNA and protein levels, in HDF preloaded with 10 \( \mu M \) AX and CX or 2 \( \mu M \) \( \beta C \) for 24 h and irradiated with 10 \( J/cm^2 \) UVA light (Fig. 5). Levels of HO-1 mRNA were measured 3 h after UVA exposure, whereas the protein expression was evaluated 12 h after irradiation. UVA-irradiated control cells showed a 70% induction of mRNA when compared with basal levels \( (P < 0.05) \). Pretreatment with AX lowered the UVA-mediated induction of HO-1 mRNA, whereas CX and \( \beta C \) enhanced these effects by 98% \( (P < 0.05) \), and 300% \( (P < 0.01) \) when compared with sham-irradiated controls. In the UVA-exposed control cells, also a significant increase of HO-1 protein levels (50%, \( P < 0.01 \)) was detected. In non-irradiated cells preincubated with CX, and \( \beta C \), a moderate induction of HO-1 expression was observed. AX clearly prevented UVA-induced upregulation of HO-1 expression at 12 h \( (P < 0.005) \), whereas irradiation of HDF preloaded with CX led to a significant increase in HO-1 protein levels \( (P < 0.01) \) as for \( \beta C \).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we evaluated the photoprotective properties of AX in comparison to CX and \( \beta C \) in HDF. A ‘physiological’ dose of UVA was applied, roughly corresponding to a UV dose accumulated within 1–2 h on a sunny day at latitude of 35°N (42). An early effect of skin exposure to UVA is a rise in ROS, which are normally scavenged by an efficient antioxidant defense system, consisting of enzymes and low-molecular weight compounds. However, antioxidant enzymes are themselves targets for oxidative modifications triggered by UVA. The impairment of CAT activity caused by UVA is ascribed to the direct effects of radiation on photosensitive sites of the protein and to indirect actions mediated by the release of singlet oxygen and other ROS (43). It is worth mentioning that the investigated carotenoids are poor filters in the used
UVA range (44). AX abrogated the UVA-induced CAT inhibition. \( \beta \)C, which is an efficient \( \text{O}_2^- \)-quencher, displayed a slight photoprotective effect towards the impairment of CAT activity. No effects on the impairment of CAT were exerted by CX. Similarly, the inhibition of SOD by UVA was counteracted by AX and \( \beta \)C, but not by CX. One can speculate that \( \beta \)C interferes with the UVA-induced inhibition of SOD activity by a specific mechanism, possibly related to a selective scavenging action exerted towards individual free radical species (2). The greater ROS scavenging activity exhibited by AX when compared with the congener CX can be partly ascribed to the different structural features of the two compounds. Because \( \beta \)C was phototoxic at levels higher than 2 \( \mu \)m, as demonstrated by the lowered cell viability following UVA irradiation, higher concentrations were not investigated. Determining the uptake of AX, CX, and \( \beta \)C by HDF at 24 h, we found that AX was absorbed at levels ca. fourfold higher than CX, in agreement with reported studies performed either \textit{in vitro} or \textit{in vivo} comparing uptake of carotenoids and xanthophylls (41,45). \( \beta \)C had an intermediate uptake level, provided that it was supplied fivefold less concentrated than CX. Prolongation of loading time with CX led to a higher accumulation of this compound, which, however, was ineffective in preventing cell photo-damages. ROS elevation and depletion of the antioxidant defense system are involved in the UVA-induced apoptosis, which occurs via caspases activation (22). Little is known about apoptotic control of chronological and photo-induced ageing of skin \textit{in vivo}. Dermal fibroblasts in culture are widely used to model ageing, which is induced by applying apoptotic stimuli (46). Challenges with sublethal oxidative stresses, such as \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) or UV, result in the development of senescent-like growth arrest, in which caspases preside to cell degradation (47). The activity of caspase-3 was correlated with the UVA doses administered and with the levels of ROS (data not shown). When carotenoids were investigated for their effects on the UVA-induced activation of caspase-3, only AX efficiently abrogated the apoptotic response to UVA. The broad protection offered by AX towards the elevation of ROS and the oxidative damages mediated by UVA was probably involved in the prevention of the caspase-3 activation. CX, which was ineffective in opposing the elevation of ROS, did not interfere with the mechanism of induction of caspase-3 by UVA. In contrast, the pro-oxidant effects of \( \beta \)C under UVA exposure may be responsible for the enhanced apoptotic response to UVA. Plasma membrane stability of HDF was affected immediately after UVA exposure, according to established effects of UVA on membrane lipid peroxidation in fibroblasts (48,49). The diminished retention of FDA following UVA reflects a structural modification of the membrane that might initiate an intracellular stress response. The membrane perturbation detected by FDA residual fluorescence was paralleled by an increase in TBARS. Changes in both parameters show that AX effectively protects the plasma membrane against oxidative damage and loss of function. It has been suggested that o xo-carotenoids like AX and CX, are more effective in preventing lipid peroxidation than carotenoids (50,51). We cannot exclude that part of the enhancing effects of \( \beta \)C on the UVA-induced damages are ascribable to its breakdown photoproducts, which might have formed under the given conditions, as \( \beta \)C-treated cultures were irradiated not at optimal loading levels. Carotenoids display a range of stability when exposed to various physical and chemical oxidative treatments. Pro-oxidant effects of \( \beta \)C have been
shown in different experimental conditions (52,53), and there is evidence that pro-apoptotic activities of βC are mediated by its oxidised species (54).

Astaxanthin behaved distinctly under photo-oxidative conditions. Indeed, AX, rather photostable, offered photoprotection. To better understand the modulating effects of AX on the UVA-induced response in fibroblasts, we investigated also the expression of HO-1, which is a marker of oxidative stress and a-regulatory mechanism involved in the modulation of the inflammatory responses and cell adaptation against oxidative damage (55). There is an evidence for a role of photochemically generated \(^1\)O\(_2\) as an effector species of UVA in upregulating HO-1 expression (39). HO-1 gene expression is regulated via various stress-sensitive transcription factors, including Nrf2, which binds to antioxidant response elements in the promoter regions of enzymes of the detoxifying metabolism (56). Interestingly, AX prevented the upregulation of HO-1 by UVA. On the contrary, we observed a marked enhancement of UVA-induced HO-1 mRNA and protein expression by CX and βC indicating a role of AX in inhibition of UVA-induced ROS formation, which is upstream of the other parameters of oxidative damage studied. Similarly, the elevated lipid photo-oxidation in fibroblasts might be responsible for the enhancement of UVA-induced HO-1 expression by βC (57,58). In fact, a signalling role triggered by the release of diacylglycerol, as well as of arachidonic acid and its products of advanced oxidation, such as MDA and 4-hydroxynonenal, has been demonstrated in the induction mechanisms of HO-1 by UVA (39). Additionally, βC and CX may decompose under pro-oxidative conditions to yield reactive intermediates. The presence of antioxidants possibly preventing βC decomposition under oxidising conditions may be responsible for the abrogation of UVR-induced HO-1 upregulation by βC observed in other studies (39).

Our study highlights the different ability of the three carotenoids to counteract photo-oxidative damage, demonstrating a superior effect of AX in reducing or abrogating the UVA-induced stress responses, aiding the maintenance of a favourable redox status in UVA-irradiated fibroblasts in culture. The two hydroxyceto end-groups may be responsible for the greater antioxidant capacity of AX over its congeners. AX efficiently counteracts the depletion of antioxidant enzymes, the derangement of plasma membrane, and the apoptotic process when fibroblasts are irradiated, providing an effective and comprehensive protection by abrogating the enhancement of ROS, as it is an early event of UVA exposure. CX, which was ineffective towards the direct cell damage delivered by UVA, including the ROS elevation, might however exert a beneficial action through the induction of phase II detoxifying enzymes, as demonstrated by the upregulation of the HO-1 gene. In contrast, βC showed either pro- or antioxidant properties at concentrations lower than the two congeners. Human studies are relatively consistently showing UV-protective effects of βC. A recent meta-analysis of controlled clinical studies has shown overall protective effects of βC supplementation against sunburn, which is a skin reaction to the shorter wavelengths UVB (60). From the results of our study we can infer that AX, by supplying skin cells with a broad photoprotection, offers a safe measure for the prevention of a variety of UVA-induced skin damage, mainly mediated by ROS.
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